## JS 44 (Rev. 10/20) Case 2:23-cv-13257-JJCG-61VFCFCWER 30910-1-T Filed 12/22/23 w 12/20/23 w 12/20/20 w 12/20/20/20 w 12/20/20 w 12/2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Adam Foust   |  |                               |  | DEFENDANTS General Motors LLC  |                        |                       |  |  |                                   |            |  |
|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|------------|--|
| (1944), 1 Just   |  |                               |  | Jon Diewald  |                        |                       |  |  |                                   |            |  |
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Oakland (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)   |  |                               |  | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Wayne  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.   |                        |                       |  |  |                                   |            |  |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, )  | Address and Telephone Numbe  | r)                            |  | Attorneys (If Kno  |                        | LANDIN                | VOLVED.  |  |                                   |            |  |
| McTavish Law PLC<br>41000 Woodward Ave., Suite 35<br>T: 248-289-7096   |  |                               |  | 5. (25   |                        |                       |  |  |                                   |            |  |
| II. BASIS OF JURISD  | ICTION (Place an "X" in  | One Box Only)                 |  | TIZENSHIP OF   |                        | CIPA                  |  | (Place an "X" in<br>and One Box for I  |                                   |            |  |
| 1 U.S. Government<br>Plaintiff   | 10 12·40 영화 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  |                               |  | P  |                        |                       | TF DEF  1 Incorporated or Principal I of Business In This Stat |  |                                   | DEF<br>4   |  |
| 2 U.S. Government<br>Defendant   | 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi   | ip of Parties in Item III)    | Citize   | n of Another State   | <b>2</b>               | □ 2                   | Incorporated and of Business In                                |  | □ 5                               | <b>□</b> 5 |  |
|  |  |                               |  | n or Subject of a<br>eign Country  | <b></b> 3              | □ 3                   | Foreign Nation   |  | <b></b> 6                         | 6          |  |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT   |  | rly)                          | FO   | RFEITURE/PENALT  | The second second      |                       | for: Nature of S   |  | STATUT                            |            |  |
| 110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property | ment   320 Assault, Libel & Slander   Slander   Personal Injury   Product Liability   340 Marine   345 Marine   Personal Injury Product Liability   358 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability   368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability   PERSONAL PROPERT   370 Other Fraud   371 Truth in Lending   Product Liability   PERSONAL PROPERT   380 Other Personal Injury   362 Personal Injury   Medical Malpractice   Property Damage   385 Property Damage   385 Property Damage   Product Liability   PRISONER PETITION   PRISONER PETITION   Habeas Corpus:   441 Voting   443 Housing   443 Housing   510 Motions to Vacate   Sentence   Senten |                               | 7   623<br>  690<br>  690<br>  710<br>  720<br>  740<br>  751<br>  791 | CABOR   Government   Cabor   Cabor |                        | 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 |  | 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ |                                   |            |  |
|  | noved from 3 1   | Remanded from Appellate Court | 1 <sup>4</sup> Reins<br>Reope  | ened And   | nsferred<br>other Dis  |                       | 6 Multidistr<br>Litigation<br>Transfer                         |  | Multidis<br>Litigatio<br>Direct F | on -       |  |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO   | The Civil Rights Act of  |                               | e filing (D<br>S.C. Secti  | o not cite jurisdictiona<br>on 2000e-2(a), as an   | l statutes<br>nedned a | unless di<br>and 42 U | versity):<br>J.S.C. Section 198                                | 31, as amended   |                                   |            |  |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:   |  | IS A CLASS ACTION             | DI   | EMAND \$ 407,072.  | 75                     |                       | HECK YES only<br>URY DEMAND                                    |  | complai                           |            |  |
| VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY  | (See instructions):  | JUDGE                         |  |  |                        | DOCK                  | ET NUMBER  |  |                                   |            |  |
| DATE<br>December 14, 2023  |  | SIC TURE OF CIT               | OVEY O   | CORD   |                        |                       |  |  |                                   |            |  |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  | AOLINET .  | APPLYING IFP                  | -  | JUDG   | F                      |                       | MAG. JU  | DGE  |                                   |            |  |
| RECEIPT # AN   | MOUNT  | # OFETINGIEF_                 |  |  | -                      | 81000-                |  |  |                                   |            |  |

# Case 2:23-cv-13257-JJCG-CI ECF No. 1, PageID.2 Filed 12/22/23 Page 2 of 14 PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83 11

| PURSUA       | NT TO LOCAL RULE 63.11   |        |
|--------------|--|--------|
| 1.           | Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?   | Yes    |
| If yes, give | e the following information:   | ■ No   |
| Court:       |  |        |
| Case No.:    |  |        |
| Judge:       |  |        |
| 2.           | Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.) | Yes No |
| If yes, give | e the following information:   |        |
| Court:       |  |        |
| Case No.:    |  |        |
| Judge:       |  |        |
| Notes :      |  |        |
|              |  |        |
|              |  |        |
|              |  |        |
|              |  |        |

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

ADAM FOUST,

Case No.:

Plaintiff,

Judge:

v.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

GENERAL MOTORS LLC AND JON DIEWALD,

Defendants.

McTavish Law PLC Attorneys for Plaintiff, Adam Foust Christopher A. Chekan (P54969) 41000 Woodward Ave., Suite 350 East Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304 T: (248) 289-7096

Email: chris@mctavishlaw.com

## **COMPLAINT**

## I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1. This case is about the defendants, General Motors LLC, ("GM"), and its former Executive Jon Diewald's, (Diewald"), discrimination against the Plaintiff, Adam Foust, ("Foust"), and their destruction of Foust's reputation in their attempt to cover it up.

- 2. Diewald fired Foust for a violation of GM's corporate vehicle policy, but he gave Kristie Spadine, ("Spadine"), a similarly situated female colleague, only a slap on the wrist for the same violation.
- 3. In order to justify their disparate treatment of Foust and Spadine, GM and Diewald unjustifiably accused Foust of lying to GM Executive Mike McBride, ("McBride"), during GM's investigation of Foust's and Spadine's violation of GM's corporate vehicle policy.
  - 4. Diewald has spread that lie of Foust within and outside GM.
- 5. Foust denied then, and he denies now, that he lied to McBride during that investigation.
  - 6. GM replaced Foust with Brittany Palubiski, a woman.
- 7. In order to prepare his Charge of Discrimination to the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, ("E.E.O.C."), and this Complaint, Foust requested, under Michigan's Bullard-Plawecki Employee Right to Know Act, a complete, unredacted, copy of his personnel file from Mike Little, ("Little"), GM's in-house counsel for Labor, Employment, and Benefits. Little has ignored Foust's request.
  - 8. The E.E.O.C. has issued Foust a Right to Sue letter.

9. Accordingly, Foust sues GM and Diewald for sex discrimination, Diewald for besmirching Foust's reputation, and GM for refusing to turn over its personnel file of Foust to him, and he requests that a jury award him, *inter alia*, damages, interest, costs, and attorney's fees.

#### II. THE PARTIES

- 10. Foust incorporates by reference, and restates herein, paragraphs 1 to 9 above.
- 11. Foust is an individual. He presently resides at 6252 Cheshire Park Drive, Clarkston, Michigan 48346.
- 12. GM is a corporation. It presently has more than 155,000 employees. It is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. GM's headquarters is located at 300 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48243.
- 13. Diewald is an individual. He was Foust's supervisor. Diewald presently resides at 163 Allenhurst Avenue, Royal Oak, Michigan 48067.

## III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

14. Foust incorporates by reference, and restates herein, paragraphs 1 to 13 above.

#### A. Jurisdiction

- 15. This Court has jurisdiction of this civil action under 28 U.S.C. §1331, as amended, because this action involves a federal question, specifically, GM's and Diewald's malicious and with reckless indifference violation of Foust's rights and remedies under federal law, namely, The Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a), as amended.
- 16. This Court also has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1343(4), as amended, because this action seeks to recover damages or secure equitable or other relief under an Act of Congress that provides for the protection of civil rights, namely, The Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a), as amended.
- 17. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1367, as amended, over Foust's claims under Michigan law against GM and Diewald because those claims are directly related to, and involve, the exact same facts as his claims against them under The Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a), as amended.

#### B. Venue

18. This Court has venue of this action under 28 U.S.C. §1390(a) and § 1391(a)(1), (a)(2)(b)(1), or (a)(2), (c)(1) and (2), and (d), as amended, because GM and Diewald all reside within the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, and a substantial part of the acts or omissions, or both, that give rise to Foust's claims against GM and Diewald occurred within that Court.

#### IV. THE FACTS

19. Foust incorporates by reference, and restates herein, paragraphs 1 to 18 above.

#### A. Foust's Employment with GM

- 20. He was employed with GM for 18 years.
- 21. He was an excellent employee.
- 22. He was an excellent leader.
- 23. When Diewald fired Foust, he was the Senior Human Resources Manager for Global Design at GM.
  - 24. Diewald was Foust's supervisor while Foust held that position.
- 25. As the Senior Human Resources Manager for Global Design at GM, Foust received an annual salary of \$188,543, plus benefits, plus a company vehicle, plus a bonus of up to 24% of his annual salary.

#### B. GM's Unlawful Termination of Foust's Employment

- 26. Foust asked Spadine, a similarly situated female colleague, if he could use her GM company vehicle over a weekend to drive from Michigan to Indiana to visit his wife and children.
- 27. Foust's wife had driven his GM company vehicle from Michigan to Indiana earlier in the week.
- 28. Foust did not wish to drive his personal leased GM vehicle from Michigan to Indiana over the weekend because that vehicle was close to the limit on its mileage under the lease.
  - 29. Spadine allowed Foust to use her GM company vehicle.
- 30. A week after Foust had used Spadine's GM company vehicle, he received, out-of-the blue, a message on Microsoft Teams from GM's Investigative Team to meet with two female investigators.
  - 31. That message did not say what the meeting is going to be about.
- 32. When Foust arrived at the meeting, he was interrogated for over an hour and he was accused of violating GM's company vehicle policy.
- 33. At the meeting, Foust was forthcoming, including, apologizing for violating GM's company vehicle policy.

- 34. Since GM could not fire Foust for his violation of its corporate vehicle policy, GM used a text message from Foust to Mike McBride, a GM executive Foust supported as the Senior Human Resources Manager for Global Design at GM, to infer that Foust had lied to McBride.
  - 35. GM's inference is baseless and false.
  - 36. Foust denied then, and he denies now, that he lied to McBride.
- 37. Days after GM interrogated Foust, Diewald, without any warning to Foust, and without giving him the opportunity to explain his text message, fired Foust.
- 38. Diewald only gave Spadine a slap on the wrist. He told her not to violate GM's corporate vehicle policy again.
  - 39. After GM fired Foust, it replaced him with a woman, Brittany Palubiski.

## C. Foust's Charge with the E.E.O.C.

- 40. On 31 May 2023, Foust timely filed his Charge of Sex Discrimination with the E.E.O.C.
- 41. On 6 June 2023, Foust's Charge of Sex Discrimination was delivered by U.S. Registered Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to the E.E.O.C.
- 42. The E.E.O.C. assigned number 471-2023-04290 to Foust's Charge of Sex Discrimination.
- 43. On 2 October 2023, the E.E.O.C. issued a right to sue letter to Foust regarding his Charge of Sex Discrimination.

#### V. CLAIMS

- A. GM's Discrimination Against Foust Contrary to the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a), as amended,
  - 44. Foust incorporates by reference, and restates herein, paragraphs 1 to 43 above.
    - 45. Foust is a member of a protected class. He is man.
- 46. Foust is qualified for the job of Senior Human Resources Manager for Global Design at GM.
  - 47. Foust suffered an adverse employment action at GM. Diewald fired him.
- 48. Spadine, a female colleague of Foust, and a similarly situated employee to Foust, who violated the same GM company vehicle policy as Foust, was given a slap on the wrist by Diewald and he told her never to do it again, whereas Diewald fired Foust for the same violation.
- 49. GM's firing of Foust for lying is a pretext so GM could replace him with a woman, namely, Brittany Palubiski.
- B. GM's Discrimination Against Foust Contrary to the Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act, MCL 37.2101 et. seq., as amended
- 50. Foust incorporates by reference, and restates herein, paragraphs 1 to 49 above.
  - 51. Foust is a member of a protected class. He is man.

- 52. Foust is qualified for the job of Senior Human Resources Manager for Global Design at GM.
  - 53. Foust suffered an adverse employment action at GM. Diewald fired him.
- 54. Spadine, a female colleague of Foust, and a similarly situated employee to Foust, who violated the same GM company vehicle policy as Foust, was given a slap on the wrist by Diewald and he told her never to do it again, whereas Diewald fired Foust for the same violation.
- 55. GM's firing of Foust for lying is a pretext so GM could replace him with a woman, namely, Brittany Palubiski.

#### C. Diewald's Injurious Falsehoods Against Foust

- 56. Foust incorporates by reference, and restates herein, paragraphs 1 to 55 above.
- 57. Diewald's accusations, within and outside GM, that Foust lied to McBride are false.
- 58. Diewald knew those accusations were false, or recklessly disregarded whether they were false, when he disseminated those accusations within and outside GM.
- 59. Diewald published his above false accusations of Foust with actual malice.

  They are spiteful lies.

- 60. Diewlald knew or should have known that his accusations were false before he published them.
- 61. Diewald knew or should have known that his above intentional and malicious false publications regarding Foust would likely result in an action being brought against him by Foust.
- 62. Foust has suffered special damages, or pecuniary losses, or both, because of the above lies of Diewald, including, but not limited to, Foust's attorney's fees and costs to bring, and maintain this action, exemplary damages, loss of back pay, future pay, and other economic damages.
- D. GM's Violation of the Bullard-Plawecki Employee Right to Know Act, 423.501, et. seq., as amended
- 63. Foust incorporates by reference, and restates herein, paragraphs 1 to 62 above.
  - 64. Foust has requested his personnel file from GM pursuant to the above Act.
  - 65. GM has not produced its personnel file for Foust to him.
  - 66. Foust has been prejudiced by GM's failure to do so.
- 67. GM's personnel file for Foust contains the facts regarding GM's firing of Foust.
- 68. If Foust had those facts, he could address them in this Complaint, which would steam line this litigation and save time and expense.

#### VI. RELIEF REQUESTED

- 69. Foust incorporates by reference, and restates herein, paragraphs 1 to 68 above.
  - 70. Foust seeks the following, inter alia, relief from GM, and Diewald:
    - A. pre-judgment interest;
    - B. post-judgment interest;
    - C. attorney's fees and costs;
    - D. back pay;
    - E. front pay;
    - F. compensatory damages;
    - G. exemplary damages;
    - H. punitive damages; and,
    - such further and other relief that Foust may request, and this Honorable
       Court may deem just.

Dated: 22 December 2023

### /s/ Christopher A. Chekan

McTavish Law PLC Attorneys for Plaintiff, Adam Foust Christopher A. Chekan (P54969) 41000 Woodward Ave. Suite 350 East Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304 T: (248) 289-7096

Email: chris@mavtavishlaw.com

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Foust requests this action be tried before a jury pursuant to Article VII of the Articles in Addition to, and Amendment of, the Constitution of the United States of America, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 38, as amended.

Dated: 22 December 2023

/s/ Christopher A. Chekan

McTavish Law PLC Attorneys for Plaintiff, Adam Foust Christopher A. Chekan (P54969) 41000 Woodward Ave., Suite 350 East Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304 T: (248) 289-7096

Email: chris@mctavishlaw.com